Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/559,754	BENGTSSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Timothy C. Vanoy	1754
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) Timothy C. Vanoy.	(3)	
(2) Mr. Arthur Fournier Jr., applicants' attorney.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 September 2006	Time: <u>10:10 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	int's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:	·	
Claims discussed: 22, 27, 28, 32, 33 and 34		
Prior art documents discussed: none		·
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		•
Timothy C Vanoy		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed amending claim 22 by replacing "he" with --the-- in line 3; amending claim 27 by inserting --of-- between "flow" and "gas" in line 2; amending claim 28 by replacing "carries" with "carried" in line 12; amending claim 32 by deleting "large" in line 6; amending claim 33 by deleting "large" in lines 5 and 11 and amending claim 34 by deleting "large" in lines 5 and 11 via an examiner's amendment. Attorney granted authorization for entering this examiner's amendment.